INDIANA COMMISSION ON PROPRIETARY EDUCATION

Board of Commissioners Meeting Memorandum

Date: March 14, 2007

From: Kyle Robertson, Accreditation Coordinator

Subject: WMTA School of Massage – Evaluation Report

Staff recommendation

In accordance with Title 570 IAC (D), the commission recommends that the WMTA School of Massage be awarded Fully Accredited status.

Background

School Director Jerry Smith has been teaching massage therapy since 1998. In 2004, the WMTA School of Massage Therapy began enrolling private-pay students at their Otterbein location, just west of Lafayette. Mr. Smith started the school because he recognized the need for massage therapy training in the Lafayette area. Last November, an on-site evaluation of WMTA was conducted by COPE staff member Ross Miller.

School Description

WMTA offers a 750 clock hour, 50 week program. The total cost of the training is \$4,000. The program is designed to be well rounded by requiring students to take both anatomy and physiology with massage theory and application. A high emphasis is placed on the client's well being. Students are required to master basic skills before they can complete the program, along with achieving 70% or better on written exams. WMTA utilizes both traditional and hands on testing methods. Students in the lab are evaluated on correctness of routine, time consideration, preparation, and technique. WMTA uses a variety of textbooks to show students different looks and approaches to their training. Class sizes are generally small. Students spend approximately 15 hours per week in class.

Jerry Smith is the primary instructor. He has over 30 years experience in massage therapy.

Evaluation Team

Ms. Jo Ellen Miller has been in the massage therapy business since 2003. She is the owner and operator of Miller Massage Therapy, located in West Lafayette. Ms. Miller received her training from the Alexander School of Scientific Therapeutics.

Ms. Heather Dunlap has been doing massage therapy for three years. She specializes in hot rock, pre-natal and deep tissue, and swedish massage.

Evaluation Results

Ms. Miller recommended Accreditation with Recommendations. Her overall impression of the institution was satisfactory. She marked WMTA as being superior in more than one category. Ms. Miller complemented the institution for their choice of textbooks and stated that the location was a major benefit. Her main concern was regarding the school's placement information for prospective students. She suggested that it would be helpful for WMTA to provide letters of endorsement from prior graduates. She also suggested that the school could better serve prospective students better by including specific curriculum agenda and instructor qualifications on their promotional brochure. Regarding the school's selection of textbooks, Ms. Miller would like for the school to consider adding a book on nutrition.

Ms. Dunlap recommended Fully Accredited status. She had many outstanding marks for WMTA. Her overall impression of the school was superior. Like Ms. Miller, Ms. Dunlap did suggest improving the school's placement records. Otherwise, there were no other suggestions.

Conclusion

The recommendation of Ms. Miller regarding the brochure is under the school's discretion. WMTA has met all of our requirements concerning their promotional brochure and placement information. COPE staff member Ross Miller informed me that he was satisfied with the records. School Director Jerry Smith is considering the suggestions of the evaluation team and stated that the school revaluates the program before each new class.

Supporting Documentation

- 1. Heather Dunlap's Evaluator Checklist
- 2. Jo Ellen Miller's Evaluator Checklist

INDIANA COMMISSION ON PROPRIETARY EDUCATION

302 W. Washington Street, Room E201 Indianapolis, IN 46204

Date of Evaluation:	November 20, 2006		
Institution Evaluated:	WMTA School of Massag	e Therapy	
Name of Team Member:	Heather Dunlap		
CHECK LIST FOR TEAM EV	ALUATORS		
In each category you are to ra	ate the institution on a scale	e of one (1) to four (4) as follo	ws:
 Outstand Superior 	ling	3. Satisfactory4. Unsatisfactory	
There is space for comments your evaluation.	. The asterisk (*) denotes	requested comments in orde	er to better explain
CATEGORY I EDUCATION	NAL OBJECTIVES		
A. The educational philosoph	nies/objectives are consiste	nt with the institution's role as	a training facility.
x 1. Outstandin	g 2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
Comments:	g 2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory
Comments.			
B. The resident training is reaseeks.		actually train the student for	the job he/she
x 1. Outstandin	g 2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
Comments:			
C. The advertising, brochures that it is a training ins		entations made are truthful, ar eific areas of instruction it pror	
x 1. Outstandin	g 2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
Comments:	g 2. Superior	3. Salistacioty	4. Offsatisfactory
Commone			
CATEGORY II FACULTY			
A. The institution has an adecade and/or experience to		nstructors or teachers trained	by education
x 1. Outstandin	g 2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*

On-Site Evaluation Form evalform.doc

Comments: Yes, for the size of the classes.

•	•	-	4. Unsatisfactory*
1 Outstanding	X 2 Superior	2 Cotinforton	4 Upostiofosta - :*
he admission policy of the institut	tion is well administere	ed and the school is reas	onably selective.
EGORY IV ADMISSION PRACT	TICES		
Commond.			
Comments:			
x 1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
he student educational needs are	e met by the institution		
Comments:			
	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
X Outstanding	0.00000	0.0-6-6-6-	4 11
he student/administration relation	nship reflects a healthy	and stable rapport withi	n the institution.
Comments.			
	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory [*]
	x		
tudent counseling is adequate to	show concern for the	individual student's pers	onal attainments.
EGORY III STUDENT POLICY			
Comments.			
_	2. Superior	o. Galisiaciony	4. Officialistaciony
1 Outstanding	2 Superior	3 Satisfactory	/ Uneatisfactory*
he faculty appear to be satisfied	with the overall institut	ion.	
Comments:	·	3. Satisfactory	,
1. Outstanding			
	The student educational needs are students: X	Toutstanding 2. Superior Comments: EGORY III STUDENT POLICY tudent counseling is adequate to show concern for the	tudent counseling is adequate to show concern for the individual student's personal topic student counseling is adequate to show concern for the individual student's personal topic student counseling is adequate to show concern for the individual student's personal topic student student's personal topic student students: A

B.	Students who have special learnin admission requirements.	g handicaps are aware	of the demands needed	d to meet the
		X		
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
	Comments:			
<u>C</u> A	ATEGORY V STUDENT RECRUIT	<u>MENT</u>		
A.	The institution appears to recruit fr recruiting low income families		f family income. No cor	ncentration on
		X		-
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
	Comments:			
В.	The institution appears to recruit s	tudents who have a pot	ential or desire the educ	cation provided.
	1. Outstanding	x 2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
	•	z. Superior	3. Salisfactory	4. Offsatisfactory
	Comments:			
C.	The students appear to have an house and house and house and house and house and house are house and house are house and house are house and house are house are house are house are house are house and house are house	onest impression of the 2. Superior	institution before they e	enroll. 4. Unsatisfactory*
	Comments:			
	ATEGORY VI PHYSICAL FACILIT The institution has satisfactory train	ning or educational faci		s, supplies, or
	equipment to instruct in the st	udent's selected area o	f study.	
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
	Comments:			
	Commond.			
B.	The classrooms or work stations a enrolled.	re the necessary size to	o accommodate the nun	nber of students
	X	0.00	0.0-6-7	4 Han C C C C
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
	Comments:			

C.	The premises and conditions unde modern standards.	er which the students v	vork are sanitary and saf	e according to
		X		
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
	Comments:			
<u>C/</u>	ATEGORY VII COURSE ORGANIZ	ZATION_		
Α.	The instruction materials are comp	orehensive, accurate a	nd well organized.	
	x 1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
	•	z. Superior	3. Galisiaciory	4. Offsatisfactory
	Comments:			
В.	The instructional material is geared	d at a level of understa	anding which adheres to	the educational level
	of the students enrolled.			
	x 1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
	Comments:		,	,
	Comments.			
	ATEGORY VIII OBJECTIVES The resident training is reasonably ultimately hopes to gain.	well developed to act	ually train the student for	the job he seeks or
	animianaly map at at game			
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
	Comments:	z. oaponoi	o. Galloladioly	n endationation
	Comments.			
В.	Student records adequately reflect	the student's progres	s during his period of enr	rollment.
		X		
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
	Comments: .			
C.	The student records adequately re institution.	eflect the student's place	cement after his/her train	ing with the
			Y	
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
	Comments: Be sure to find	d out where students g	o after leaving WMTA.	

D. Ch	aracterize your impression of th	ne institution.		
		x		
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
	Comments:			
E. Th	e majority of the students appea institution.	ar to be satisfied with t	he education they have re	eceived from the
	x 1. Outstanding			
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
	Comments:			
4 11			institution should rece	
	Status – If, after a review of the tion and the formal team evalua			
	e deficiencies that in the opinion			
minim	um standards required for opera	ation of a postseconda	ary proprietary school, the	
	ning institution should be award			
petitio	ning institution should be recom	nmended for revocation	n.	
2 Car	ndidate If, after a review of th	no forms and materials	submitted by the natition	ina
	tion and the formal team evalua			
deficie	encies that in the opinion of the	Commission can be co	orrected and would not be	
	nial of the right to do business, t	then the petitioning ins	titution may be awarded	
"Cand	idate" status.			
2 404	veditetien with Decemberds	otiona If ofter a revis	ou of the forms on motoric	ala.
	creditation with Recommendatted by the petitioning institution			
	nd to still possess certain deficie			
	ditation or candidate status, but			
efficie	ncy, then the institution may be	awarded "Accredited v	with Recommendations" s	status.
	ly Accredited – If, after a review			
	ation the institution has correcte redited with Recommendations			ididato,

If status Is 1, 2, or 3, list your specific reasons or recommendations below. Please add any explanatory notes to your recommendation. Use additional page(s) if necessary.

INDIANA COMMISSION ON PROPRIETARY EDUCATION

302 W. Washington Street, Room E201 Indianapolis, IN 46204

Date of Evaluation:	November 20, 2006		
Institution Evaluated:	WMTA School of Massag	e Therapy	
Name of Team Member:	Jo Ellen Miller		
CHECK LIST FOR TEAM EV	ALUATORS		
In each category you are to ra		e of one (1) to four (4) as follo	ws:
1. Outstand		3. Satisfactory	
2. Superior	iiig	Unsatisfactory	
There is space for comments your evaluation.	. The asterisk (*) denotes	requested comments in orde	er to better explain
CATEGORY I EDUCATION	NAL OBJECTIVES		
A. The educational philosoph	nies/objectives are consiste	nt with the institution's role as	a training facility.
		X	
1. Outstandin	g 2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
Comments:			
B. The resident training is reaseeks.	asonably well developed to	actually train the student for	the job he/she
1. Outstandin	g 2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
Comments:			
C. The advertising, brochure that it is a training ins		entations made are truthful, ar cific areas of instruction it pror	
1. Outstandin	g 2. Superior	x 3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
Comments:		,	,
CATEGORY II FACULTY			
A. The institution has an ade and/or experience to		nstructors or teachers trained	by education
		X	
1. Outstandin	g 2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*

Comments:

В.	The educational administrators are education and/or experience.	e qualified professiona	lly to administer their pos	sition through
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
	Comments:	2. Suponoi	o. Galloladioly	i. Oriodiolasiony
	Comments.			
C.	The faculty appear to be satisfied	with the overall institut	ion.	
	4 Outstanding	X	0.0-6-66	4.11
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
	Comments:			
<u>CA</u>	TEGORY III STUDENT POLICY	<u>.</u>		
Α. :	Student counseling is adequate to	show concern for the	individual student's perso	onal attainments.
	1. Outstanding	x 2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
	Comments:	2. Caponor	o. Gallolactory	ii Ondationation
	Comments.			
В.	The student/administration relation		x	
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
	Comments:			
C.	The student educational needs are	e met by the institution		
	4. Outstanding	X	2. Catiofactam	4
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
	Comments:			
<u>CA</u>	TEGORY IV ADMISSION PRACT	TICES		
Α.	The admission policy of the institut	tion is well administere	ed and the school is reas	onably selective.
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	X 3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
	Comments:	·	•	,

B.	Students who have special learning admission requirements.	g handicaps are aware	of the demands needed	to meet the
	4. Outstanding	2 Curarian	X Catiofo atom:	4
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
	Comments:			
<u>C/</u>	ATEGORY V STUDENT RECRUIT	<u>MENT</u>		
Α.	The institution appears to recruit from recruiting low income families.		of family income. No con	centration on
			X	
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
	Comments:			
В.	The institution appears to recruit st	udents who have a po	tential or desire the educ	cation provided.
			x 3. Satisfactory	4.11 6.6 4 4
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
	Comments:			
C.	The students appear to have an ho	onest impression of the	e institution before they e x 3. Satisfactory	nroll. 4. Unsatisfactory*
	Comments:	·	•	•
<u>C/</u>	ATEGORY VI PHYSICAL FACILITI	<u>ES</u>		
A.	The institution has satisfactory train equipment to instruct in the stu		of study.	s, supplies, or
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
	Comments:	•	·	-
	Commond.			
В.	The classrooms or work stations ar enrolled.	re the necessary size t	o accommodate the num	nber of students
			X	
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
	Comments:			

1. Outstanding 2. Superior 3. Satisfactory 4. Unsatisfactory Comments: . CATEGORY VII COURSE ORGANIZATION A. The instruction materials are comprehensive, accurate and well organized. 1. Outstanding 2. Superior 3. Satisfactory 4. Unsatisfactory Comments: Excellent selection of textbooks. B. The instructional material is geared at a level of understanding which adheres to the educational level of the students enrolled. 1. Outstanding 2. Superior 3. Satisfactory 4. Unsatisfactory Comments: CATEGORY VIII OBJECTIVES	
CATEGORY VII COURSE ORGANIZATION A. The instruction materials are comprehensive, accurate and well organized. X 1. Outstanding 2. Superior 3. Satisfactory 4. Unsatisfactory Comments: Excellent selection of textbooks. B. The instructional material is geared at a level of understanding which adheres to the educational level of the students enrolled. X 1. Outstanding X	r\/*
A. The instruction materials are comprehensive, accurate and well organized. X 1. Outstanding 2. Superior 3. Satisfactory 4. Unsatisfactory	ıy
A. The instruction materials are comprehensive, accurate and well organized. X	
The instructional material is geared at a level of understanding which adheres to the educational level of the students enrolled. X	
Comments: Excellent selection of textbooks. B. The instructional material is geared at a level of understanding which adheres to the educational level of the students enrolled. X 1. Outstanding 2. Superior 3. Satisfactory 4. Unsatisfactory Comments:	
Comments: Excellent selection of textbooks. B. The instructional material is geared at a level of understanding which adheres to the educational level of the students enrolled. X 1. Outstanding 2. Superior 3. Satisfactory 4. Unsatisfactory Comments:	m /*
B. The instructional material is geared at a level of understanding which adheres to the educational level of the students enrolled.	гу
of the students enrolled. X 1. Outstanding 2. Superior 3. Satisfactory 4. Unsatisfactor Comments:	
1. Outstanding 2. Superior 3. Satisfactory 4. Unsatisfactory Comments:	⁄el
Comments:	*
	ГУ
CATEGORY VIII OBJECTIVES	
A. The resident training is reasonably well developed to actually train the student for the job he seeks ultimately hopes to gain.	or
1. Outstanding 2. Superior 3. Satisfactory 4. Unsatisfactor	rv*
Comments:	.,
B. Student records adequately reflect the student's progress during his period of enrollment.	
1. Outstanding 2. Superior 3. Satisfactory 4. Unsatisfactor	r\/*
	ıy
Comments: .	
C. The student records adequately reflect the student's placement after his/her training with the institution.	
1. Outstanding 2. Superior 3. Satisfactory 4. Unsatisfactor	rv*
Comments: No placement information was available.	,

D.	Characterize your impress	ion of the	institution.			
				V		
	1. Outstanding	 -	2. Superior	x 3. Satisfactor	y 4. Unsat	isfactory*
	_	J	·		,	,
	Comments:					
E.	The majority of the student institution.	ts appear	to be satisfied w	ith the education they l	nave received from	the
	1. Outstanding		x 2. Superior	3. Satisfactor	y 4 Unsat	isfactory*
				•		isiaciory
	Comments: The so	chool loca	ition is a major b	enefit for most students	3 .	
	Diagon initi			(late two (to (to a college)		
1	Please initia No Status – If, after a revie			this institution should		
	titution and the formal team					
	ere deficiencies that in the					
	nimum standards required f					
	titioning institution should b				of the	
pe	titioning institution should b	e recomm	nended for revoc	ation.		
2.	Candidate If, after a rev	iew of the	forms and mate	rials submitted by the r	petitioning	
	titution and the formal team					
	ficiencies that in the opinior					
	denial of the right to do bus andidate" status.	siness, the	en the petitioning	institution may be awa	arded	
C.	andidate Status.					
3	Accreditation with Recom	nmendati	ons – If after a r	eview of the forms an i	materials	
	omitted by the petitioning in					XXX
is f	ound to still possess certain	n deficien	cies that are not	so serious as to cause	either denial of	^^^
	creditation or candidate sta					
еп	ciency, then the institution	may be a	warded "Accredit	ed with Recommendat	ions" status.	
	Fully A compulition		-£ 41 £			
	Fully Accredited – If, after aluation the institution has o					
	Accredited with Recommer					
			,			
	If at at a see la 4 0	0 11 4	161	asons or recommend		

If status is 1, 2, or 3, list your specific reasons or recommendations by Please add any explanatory notes to your recommendation. Use additional page(s) if necessary.

Have placement information available for prospective students, perhaps letters from graduates.